

---

## From $\lambda$ -terms to MELL Proof-Nets

---

## Reduction rules and equations for MELL Proof-Nets

---

See

<http://www.pps.jussieu.fr/~kesner/enseignement/mpri/11/RE-MELL-proofnets1.pdf>

## Recall that...

---

Two forms for **atomic** formulae :  $p$  and  $\underline{p}$ .

**Negation** of formulae is defined as follows :

$$p^\perp := \underline{p} \quad \underline{p}^\perp := p$$

$$(A \wp B)^\perp := A^\perp \otimes B^\perp \quad (A \otimes B)^\perp := A^\perp \wp B^\perp$$

$$(?A)^\perp := !A^\perp \quad (!A)^\perp := ?A^\perp$$

## Reduction relation for MELL Proof-Nets

---

Let us consider :

$$E = A \cup B$$

$$CE = \{Ax-cut, \wp-\otimes, w-b, d-b, c-b, b-b\}$$

$$R = CE \cup \{U, V\}$$

The reduction relation on MELL proof-nets is generated by the reduction rules  $R$  and congruence axioms  $E$  :

$$p \rightarrow_{R/E} p' \text{ iff } \exists p_1, p_2 \ p \sim_E p_1 \rightarrow_R p_2 \sim_E p'$$

## Termination properties of proof-nets

---

(Girard)

The reduction system generated by the reduction rules  $CE$  is SN.

(DiCosmo-Guerrini)

The reduction system generated by the reduction rules  $CE \cup V$  modulo the axioms  $E$  is SN.

(Polonovski)

The reduction relation  $R/E$  is SN.

5

## From simply typed $\lambda$ s-terms to MELL Proof-Nets

---

Translate types and type derivations as follows :

<http://www.pps.jussieu.fr/~kesner/enseignement/mpri/11/lambdas-proofnets2.pdf>

6

## Translating $\lambda$ s-Reduction on Typed Terms

---

**Theorem [From  $\lambda$ s to MELL]** Let  $\Gamma \vdash_{\lambda s} t : A$  and  $t \rightarrow_{\lambda s} t'$ , then  $T(\Gamma \vdash_{\lambda s} t : A) \rightarrow_{R/E}^* C[T(\Gamma' \vdash_{\lambda s} t : A)]$  for some  $\Gamma' \subseteq \Gamma$  and some MELL-context made only of weakenings.

- Which  $\lambda$ s-steps are strictly/weakly translated?
- How SN for  $\lambda$ s-typed terms can be concluded from SN for MELL Prof-Nets?

7

## The $\sigma$ -equivalence in $\lambda$ -calculus

---

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda x. \lambda y. U)V &\equiv \sigma_1 \lambda y. (\lambda x. U)V && \text{if } y \notin FV(V) \\ (\lambda x. UV)W &\equiv \sigma_2 ((\lambda x. U)W)V && \text{if } x \notin FV(V) \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma** If  $t \equiv_{\sigma} t'$ , then  $t \equiv_{\beta} t'$ .

**Theorem [Regnier'90]** If  $t \equiv_{\sigma} t'$ , then  $\eta_{\beta}(t) = \eta_{\beta}(t')$ .

8

## The $\sigma$ -equivalence in calculi with ES

---

$$(\lambda y.U)[x/V] \equiv \lambda y.U[x/V] \quad \text{if } y \notin FV(V)$$

$$(UV)[x/W] \equiv U[x/W]V \quad \text{if } x \notin FV(V)$$